INSOLVENCYREVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Editor Donald S Bernstein

#LAWREVIEWS

© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd

INSOLVENCYREVIEW

EIGHTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in October 2020 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor Donald S Bernstein

LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Joel Woods

SENIOR ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Jack Bagnall

ACCOUNT MANAGERS Olivia Budd, Katie Hodgetts, Reece Whelan

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCH LEAD Kieran Hansen

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Tommy Lawson

PRODUCTION AND OPERATIONS DIRECTOR Adam Myers

> PRODUCTION EDITOR Gillian Fraser

> > SUBEDITOR Claire Ancell

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Nick Brailey

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London Meridian House, 34–35 Farringdon Street, London, EC4A 4HL, UK © 2020 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided was accurate as at September 2020, be advised that this is a developing area. Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-83862-461-3

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following for their assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ACCLIVUS LAW PARTNERS

APPLEBY

ARENDT & MEDERNACH

BAKER MCKENZIE

BERNITSAS LAW

CAPITAL EQUITY LEGAL GROUP

CLEARY GOTTLIEB

CLIFFORD CHANCE SLPU

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

GALDINO & COELHO ADVOGADOS

GILBERT + TOBIN

GSK STOCKMANN

HAMMAD & AL-MEHDAR LAW FIRM

MANNBENHAM ADVOCATES LIMITED

MATHESON

MILBANK LLP

NAGASHIMA OHNO & TSUNEMATSU

OSCÓS ABOGADOS

PRAGER DREIFUSS AG

TATARA & PARTNERS RESTRUCTURING & INSOLVENCY LAW FIRM WHITE & CASE LLC WOLF THEISS WONGPARTNERSHIP LLP

CONTENTS

PREFACE		vii
Donald S Berr	istein	
Chapter 1	AUSTRALIA	1
	Dominic Emmett and Hannah Cooper	
Chapter 2	AUSTRIA	
	Eva Spiegel and Paul Krepil	
Chapter 3	BERMUDA	
	John Wasty, John Riihiluoma, Lalita Vaswani and Sam Riihiluoma	
Chapter 4	BRAZIL	
	Mauro Teixeira de Faria and Rodrigo Saraiva Porto Garcia	
Chapter 5	CANADA	53
	Michael Nowina, Ben Sakamoto and Glenn Gibson	
Chapter 6	CHINA	62
	Ren Yimin and Zhu Yun	
Chapter 7	ENGLAND AND WALES	73
	Karen McMaster, Tina Lockwood and Damilola Odetola	
Chapter 8	FRANCE	101
	Fabrice Baumgartner and Aude Dupuis	
Chapter 9	GERMANY	110
	Andreas Dimmling	
Chapter 10	GREECE	124
	Athanasia G Tsene	

Chapter 11	HUNGARY	143
	Zoltán Faludi and Enikő Lukács	
Chapter 12	INDIA	154
	Margaret D'Souza	
Chapter 13	IRELAND	
	Julie Murphy-O'Connor, Kevin Gahan and Edward Kane	
Chapter 14	ISLE OF MAN	177
	Miles Benham and Carly Stratton	
Chapter 15	JAPAN	
	Nobuaki Kobayashi and Tomohiro Okawa	
Chapter 16	LUXEMBOURG	210
	Clara Mara-Marhuenda, Sébastien Binard and Grégory Minne	
Chapter 17	MEXICO	226
	Darío U Oscós Coria and Darío A Oscós Rueda	
Chapter 18	POLAND	244
	Karol Tatara, Adam Królik, Anna Czarnota, Sandra Bartkowska-Michalska, Mateusz Kaliński and Michał Burek	
Chapter 19	RUSSIA	256
	Pavel Boulatov	
Chapter 20	SAUDI ARABIA	
	Adli Hammad	
Chapter 21	SINGAPORE	
	Stephanie Yeo, Clayton Chong and Eden Li	
Chapter 22	SPAIN	
	Iñigo Villoria and Alexandra Borrallo	
Chapter 23	SWITZERLAND	
	Daniel Hayek and Mark Meili	

Chapter 24	UNITED STATES	343
	Donald S Bernstein, Timothy Graulich, Christopher S Robertson and Thomas S Green	
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	373
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTORS' CONTACT DETAILS	391

PREFACE

This eighth edition of *The Insolvency Review* once again offers an in-depth review of market conditions and insolvency case developments in key countries. A debt of gratitude is owed to the outstanding professionals around the globe who have dedicated their time and talents to this book. As always, their contributions reflect diverse viewpoints and approaches, which in turn reflect the diversity of their respective national commercial cultures and laws.

This year's book is being published as the world continues to cope with the covid-19 pandemic. Some countries are more affected than others but one thing is clear: in addition to the tragic impact of the pandemic on the lives and health of so many around the world, the economic hardship on individuals and businesses is extensive. This impact goes well beyond those directly affected by the virus. In many countries, lockdowns have affected a number of economic sectors. Airlines, hospitality, entertainment, dining and retail, just to name a few, have seen their revenues collapse and enormous numbers of jobs lost. The impact on employees in these sectors has been tragic, and the effect on consumers has rippled through other sectors as well. Governmental stimulus efforts have cushioned some of this impact but even so we are now seeing record numbers of business failures. These numbers will only grow until the pandemic is under control.

As can be seen in these pages, insolvency professionals and courts are coping with the resulting onslaught of business insolvencies to the best of their ability. Still, efforts to rescue and restructure businesses and save jobs are of no avail if revenues cannot timely be restored. Insolvency proceedings can be a holding action, but they cannot create revenue to allow a business to survive. The insolvency system then becomes merely an orderly means of shutting businesses down and distributing their assets.

One question to ask is whether, where businesses revenues collapse owing to an exogenous event such as a pandemic, the fact that investors and employees in some economic sectors absorb losses and hardships that are disproportionate to those in other sectors is not highly arbitrary. Some cogently argue that these costs, which are imposed by actions taken by governments, businesses and individuals to protect the public's health and wellbeing, should be absorbed by the public sector and allocated through tax policy rather than having them absorbed by the unlucky employees and stakeholders of the affected businesses.

Another question is whether allowing the collapse of these businesses, which were viable before the pandemic, will not also make the return to normal more difficult after the worst is behind us. Rather than idling for a while and then resuming, the affected economic engines are being shut down. Their lights are literally going out. Over the long run, will it be more time-consuming and costly to reconstruct these economic engines anew, and then crank them up and restart them, than it would be to support them so they can idle for a time and then resume in their current form?

Of course, for businesses to remain intact they must be provided with liquidity and capital, and programmes have been adopted in a number of countries to provide this, at least temporarily. Payment moratoria also have played a role in some countries, though these moratoria inevitably force some of the costs onto private sector parties (for example, landlords).

Frankly, there may be no good answers to these questions.

Next year, we may be in a better position to assess the economic damage done by the pandemic and how successful countries have been in preserving their business infrastructure, restoring employment and mitigating the arbitrary impacts described above. In the meantime, it is up to the insolvency system to take up the slack as best it can. I know that insolvency professionals, especially the authors contributing to this volume, are up to the task.

As I do each year, I want to thank each of the contributors to this book for their efforts to make *The Insolvency Review* a valuable resource. As each of our authors knows, this book is a challenging undertaking every year, and particularly so in this year of covid-19. As in previous years, my hope is that this year's volume will help all of us, authors and readers alike, reflect on the larger picture, keeping our eye on likely, as well as necessary, developments, on both the near and distant horizons.

Donald S Bernstein

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP September 2020 Chapter 2

AUSTRIA

Eva Spiegel and Paul Krepil¹

I INSOLVENCY LAW, POLICY AND PROCEDURE

i Statutory framework and substantive law

Introduction

Insolvency law in Austria is primarily regulated by the Austrian Insolvency Act (AIA), which entered into force in 2010. For businesses, the Austrian insolvency regime provides for the following types of insolvency proceedings:²

- *a* bankruptcy proceedings;
- *b* restructuring proceedings where a bankruptcy administrator is appointed; and
- *c* restructuring proceedings where the debtor retains the right to self-administration.

While bankruptcy proceedings usually lead to a realisation or winding up of the debtor's estate, restructuring proceedings aim at the continuation of the debtor's business and the discharge of debts.

ii Policy

General

In general, the Austrian insolvency regime follows the principle of uniform and proportionate satisfaction of (unsecured) creditors. Likewise, debt-ridden businesses have the opportunity to engage in restructuring measures.

To enhance the chances of success of restructuring efforts, Austrian law provides various options for debtors:

Ahead of insolvency proceedings, solvent debtors may apply for reorganisation under the Business Reorganisation Act (Reorganisation Act). The Reorganisation Act aims at enabling otherwise economically stable businesses facing temporary financial difficulties to continue their business activities through a reorganisation process. However, the procedure set out in the Reorganisation Act has only been used in very few cases since its introduction.

After bankruptcy proceedings have been initiated, insolvent debtors may submit restructuring plans if certain preconditions are met. Additionally, Austrian insolvency law provides for specific safeguards that allow the debtor to continue pre-insolvency contractual

¹ Eva Spiegel is a partner and Paul Krepil is an associate at Wolf Theiss.

² For natural persons, additional types of proceedings are applicable: (1) insolvency proceedings applicable to natural persons; and (2) garnishment applicable to natural persons. This article will focus on the applicable regime for businesses only.

relationships, including the possibility for the administrator to apply for deferral of evictions regarding business premises or restrictions concerning contractual termination rights of business partners.

As a principle, bankruptcy proceedings that lead to a realisation or winding up of the debtor's estate should be considered an instrument of last resort.

Directive (EU) 2019/1023

On 20 June 2019, the European Parliament and the Council of the European Union issued a directive on restructuring and insolvency (Directive [EU] 2019/1023). This directive aims at providing restructuring tools available across Europe to enable debtors in financial distress to solve their problems at an early stage in order to avoid formal insolvency proceedings. Member States must implement the Directive by 17 July 2021. So far, no new legislation based on the Directive has been implemented in Austria. It remains to be seen to what extent the Austrian legislator will adopt respectively extend the above-listed proceedings currently implemented in Austria in order to put the objectives of the Directive into effect.

iii Main effects of the opening of insolvency proceedings

General

Once insolvency proceedings are opened, the debtor loses its right to dispose assets that belong to the estate. Any obligations of the debtor that are not due at the time of the opening of the insolvency proceedings are accelerated and assumed to be due.

Creditors may not initiate or continue legal actions – specifically enforcements actions – against the debtor. Exceptions are (1) legal proceedings that do not affect the debtor's estate; (2) proceedings relating to creditors with a right to segregate assets and creditors with existing rights to separate satisfaction (see below); (3) proceedings relating to claims disputed by the administrator; and (4) legal proceedings resulting from transactions concluded after the opening of the insolvency proceedings. In the first exceptional case, legal action may be brought or continued against the debtor; in all other cases, the plaintiff must bring the action against the debtor's estate represented by the administrator.

Contracts

The opening of insolvency proceedings does not terminate existing contracts automatically. Yet, the administrator may choose whether or not to fulfil contracts that (1) were agreed to between the contractual parties prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings; and (2) were not fully satisfied by both parties prior to the opening of the insolvency proceedings. The other contractual party may request the court to set a deadline for the administrator to make this decision. In case the administrator does not respond timely, contracts are deemed terminated. If the administrator chooses to terminate the contract, the other contracting party may file a claim for damages resulting from such termination as a creditor in the insolvency proceedings, in which case he or she will receive the respective quota.

Contractual agreements that grant one contractual party the right to terminate the agreement in case of insolvency are void.³

Contracts that are potentially necessary for the continuation of the debtor's business may not be terminated during a six-month period following the opening of insolvency

³ Exceptions apply for certain financial instruments such as derivative contracts.

proceedings, unless there is a compelling reason to do so. In this context, the mere worsening of the debtor's economic situation is not sufficient, and neither is late payment by the debtor of receivables that have become due and payable prior to the opening of insolvency proceedings. An exception is made only if the fulfilment of the contract would be detrimental to the economic situation of the creditor, with respect to employment agreements (to which a special termination regime applies) and credit agreements.

Priority of creditors' claims

Austrian law distinguishes between secured and unsecured creditors.

Secured creditors

Secured creditors are creditors (1) with a right to segregate assets; and (2) creditors with existing rights to separate satisfaction.

A creditor's right to segregate assets usually refers to assets in the creditor's property that are in the possession of the debtor. Creditors with a right to segregate assets are generally not affected by insolvency proceedings. The rightful owner of the property may bring an action against the administrator, respectively the debtor, (in restructuring proceedings where the debtor retains the right to self-administration – see below) for the return of its property.

A creditor's right to separate satisfaction concerns creditor security rights over assets of the debtor. Creditors with right to separate satisfaction only take part as insolvency creditors (unsecured creditors – see below) to the extent their claims exceed the value of the secured assets.

Secured creditors may be barred by the court from enforcing their rights in respect of security for a maximum period of six months (as determined by the court) following the opening of insolvency proceedings, if such enforcement would jeopardise the continuation of the debtor's business and provided that such prohibition would not cause severe economic damage to the secured creditors.

Unsecured creditors

Unsecured creditors' claims must be filed as insolvency claims in order to take part in the insolvency proceedings. In this context, obligations of the debtor that are not due at the time of commencement of the insolvency proceedings are deemed accelerated and due for the purpose of the proceedings.

Unsecured creditors, whose claims are recognised, receive the same pro rata quota of their insolvency claim. As a class, they are subordinate to administrative expenses, consisting, generally, of the costs of the proceedings (including the remuneration and reimbursement awarded to the creditors' committee and the special creditors' associations), the fees of the administrator, and claims for labour, services and goods furnished to the estate after the commencement of the proceedings, and the rights of secured creditors to the extent the respective claims are secured by assets subject to security interests.

iv Insolvency procedures

Bankruptcy proceedings

Bankruptcy proceedings usually lead to a realisation or winding up of the debtor's estate. The proceeds remaining after the satisfaction of administrative expenses and secured claims (see above) are subsequently distributed among the (unsecured) creditors on a pro rate basis. In bankruptcy proceedings, an administrator is appointed by the court. At the beginning of bankruptcy proceedings, the administrator provides an overview of the debtor's assets and establishes whether the debtor's business can be continued. Generally Austrian insolvency law follows the concept of reorganisation instead of the realisation of the debtor's assets. Only if the continuation of the debtor's business would increase the loss of creditors, the debtor's business is closed and its estate liquidated under the supervision of the court. After the proceeds of such liquidation have been distributed, the bankruptcy proceedings are terminated by court order.

In general, the termination of insolvency proceedings does not discharge the debtor of debts that have not been satisfied in full. Therefore, creditors whose claims have been determined by the bankruptcy administrator, or after being disputed by the administrator, and confirmed by a court judgment, may bring actions against the debtor regarding the unsettled portion of their claims even after insolvency proceedings have been terminated. However, with corporate debtors, bankruptcy will eventually result in the ultimate dissolution of the company. Therefore, claims against the debtor for payment of outstanding amounts are usually prevented.

The bankrupt debtor may also apply for a restructuring scheme in the course of bankruptcy proceedings. In the application, the debtor has to submit a proposal for the satisfaction of at least 20 per cent of all insolvency debts within a maximum period of two years.⁴ Administrative expenses have to be paid in full and the rights of secured creditors remain in principle unaffected. Usually, such proposal presumes that the debtor's business can be continued. After a preliminary formal examination by the court,⁵ the creditors decide at a creditors' hearing on the acceptance of the debtor's proposal. In this regard a quorum of both a simple majority of the creditors attending the hearing and a simple majority based on the value of their claims is required.

The quota of 20 per cent is a statutory minimum requirement for any restructuring scheme and needs to be proportionate to the debtor's actual economic and financial standing. Depending on the circumstances of the case, it may be necessary to offer a proposal with a higher quota or shorter payment period in order obtain the creditors acceptance. In practice, creditors usually request a payment plan that sets forth instalments, starting with a first payment immediately after the acceptance of the restructuring scheme.

Following the creditors' acceptance, the court confirms the restructuring scheme in case no grounds for denial are met.⁶ Once the debtor has fulfilled the restructuring scheme, it is discharged of its debts. Completed restructuring schemes are also effective against creditors who did not accept the proposal – respectively those who did not take part in the insolvency proceedings.

⁴ Section 141, Austrian Insolvency Act.

⁵ Section 141, Austrian Insolvency Act. An application for a restructuring scheme is dismissed, inter alia, in the case of a final conviction of the debtor – or, in case of a corporate debtor, (one of) its managing directors – for a fraudulent bankruptcy offence (Section 156 of the Austrian Criminal Code).

⁶ Section 152a, Austrian Insolvency Act. A reason for denial is the non-payment of priority claims or of the bankruptcy administrator's compensation.

Restructuring proceedings

In addition to applying for a restructuring scheme during already initiated bankruptcy proceedings, debtors may also apply for the opening of restructuring proceedings (as an alternative to bankruptcy proceedings). In this context, only the debtor may file for the opening of restructuring proceedings (a creditor's application for restructuring proceedings is not possible). There are two types of restructuring proceedings available: (1) a procedure where a bankruptcy administrator is appointed; and (2) a procedure where the debtor retains the right to self-administration.

In both cases, the application needs to contain a restructuring proposal, with a minimum quota of 20 per cent of all debts needing to be satisfied within a period of two years in the first and of 30 per cent in the second case. In the course of restructuring proceedings, the debtor's business may only be liquidated if the debtor's restructuring proposal has not been accepted by the creditors within 90 days from the opening of proceedings.

In restructuring proceedings where a bankruptcy administrator is appointed, the debtor loses control over its business and the court-appointed insolvency administrator is in charge of all decisions. The procedure follows the process for restructuring schemes as described above. If the restructuring proposal is not accepted by the creditors, the court will reclassify and continue the proceedings as bankruptcy proceedings.⁷

In the case of restructuring proceedings where the debtor retains the right to self-administration, the debtor retains legal capacity to act and control over the business with supervision of the court-appointed restructuring administrator. Certain material actions and transactions require the consent of a restructuring administrator or the court. The right to self-administration may be withdrawn; that is, if action by the debtor could lead to a disadvantage for creditors. In this instance, the restructuring proceedings would again be reclassified and continued as restructuring proceedings without a debtor's right to self-administration.⁸ Proceedings would follow the process for restructuring schemes (see above).

v Starting proceedings

While a petition to open bankruptcy proceedings may be filed either by the debtor or a creditor, a petition for the commencement of restructuring proceedings may only be filed by the debtor.

In general, the management of a corporate entity is required to file for bankruptcy proceedings immediately, without culpable delay, as soon as it becomes apparent that the company is illiquid (liquidity gap of more than 5 per cent) or over-indebted (liabilities exceed value of assets based on a liquidation status and no positive continuation prognosis exists), but at the latest within 60 days of becoming insolvent (in exceptional cases, such as the covid-19 pandemic, this period is prolonged to 120 days).

In case a creditor attempts to put the debtor into (involuntary) bankruptcy, the creditor must provide evidence that the following statutory requirements are met:

a Existence of a claim against the debtor: The creditor's claim must be sufficiently specified and evidenced. In general, Austrian law does not require a final and

⁷ Section 167, Austrian Insolvency Act.

⁸ Section 170, Austrian Insolvency Act.

enforceable judgment. Austrian legal practice, however, shows that there is the risk that a creditor's application, which is not based on a final and enforceable judgment, might be successfully objected to by the debtor.

b Insolvency of the debtor: The creditor must show that the debtor is insolvent – that is, over-indebted or illiquid.

The creditor that applies for the opening of insolvency proceedings bears the burden of proof that the requirements set forth above are met. Austrian insolvency courts take a strict approach in this regard. In cases of over-indebtedness, it can be especially difficult for the creditor to convince the court that the debtor is in fact insolvent, as the creditor often does not have substantial information on a debtor's financials. Therefore, creditor's petitions to open insolvency proceedings are in most cases based on the ground of illiquidity.

In the case of an application by the debtor, the court generally decides within a few days whether insolvency proceedings are opened. An application filed by a creditor will be served on the debtor and the debtor is given the opportunity to raise objections. In most cases, the insolvency court also schedules an oral hearing to give the debtor's managing directors an opportunity to be heard. The debtor may also file a restructuring plan in order to achieve that restructuring proceedings are opened instead of bankruptcy proceedings.

Insolvency proceedings are commenced by court decision if the court concludes that (1) the criteria for insolvency are fulfilled; and (2) the debtor's estate comprises sufficient assets to cover the initial costs of the insolvency proceedings (usually a deposit of \notin 4,000 is required).

Court decisions on the opening or denying of insolvency proceedings can be appealed by any party whose rights are adversely affected. Appeals must be filed within a time period of 14 days following the publication of the court decision on the insolvency database.

vi Control of insolvency proceedings

Insolvency proceedings are generally controlled by the administrator and the competent insolvency court. In addition, creditors have certain rights of control:

Insolvency court

The insolvency court decides on the opening and ending of insolvency proceedings and takes all main decisions during ongoing proceedings. The insolvency court appoints the administrator and establishes a possible creditor's committee. Certain actions in the insolvency proceedings are subject to the court's approval – that is, the sale of the debtor's business or the sale of a substantial portion of the debtor's assets.

Insolvency administrator

The insolvency administrator is appointed by the court and has a central oversight and management function in insolvency proceedings. Administrators primarily represent the creditor's interests.

Usually, insolvency administrators are chosen from a list of potential appointees.⁹ Most of administrators appointed in Austria are attorneys.

⁹

The list of potential appointees is posted on the following website of the Federal Ministry of Justice: www.insolvenzverwalter.justiz.gv.at.

The duties of a bankruptcy administrator – in both bankruptcy and restructuring proceedings – inter alia are:

- *a* assessment of the debtor's economic situation and determining reasons that led to the debtor's insolvency;
- *b* the preparation of an inventory, listing the debtor's assets and liabilities as of the date of the commencement of the insolvency proceedings; and
- *c* representation in all legal disputes concerning the debtor's estate

In case of bankruptcy proceedings, a bankruptcy administrator must additionally:

- *a* establish whether debtor's business can be continued or, if applicable, reopened (at least for a limited period of time);
- *b* assess whether a restructuring scheme is possible and would be in the common interest of the creditors; and
- *c* liquidate the debtor's estate.

Creditors

Creditors also have certain rights of control in insolvency proceedings as the creditors' committee needs to approve certain action taken by the administrator. A creditor's committee is, however, not established in all insolvency proceedings but rather in complex and large-scale cases.

vii Measures taken by the legislature in the context of the covid-19 crisis

The efforts by the Austrian legislature to contain the global covid-19 pandemic brought some changes to the Austrian insolvency and restructuring regime. The Austrian legislator inter alia enacted the following measures:¹⁰

- *a* An extended period to file for insolvency is applicable: According to the Austrian Insolvency Act, a debtor generally obliged to file for insolvency without undue delay, but at the latest within 60 days from the date grounds for insolvency are established (illiquidity or over-indebtedness (see above)). In cases of natural disasters, such as earthquakes, floods, storms or avalanches, Austrian law provides for an extended filing period of 120 days. Through the Austrian Second Covid-19 Act, it was made clear by the legislator that the already existing rules providing for such extended 120-day period shall also apply in cases of an epidemic respectively a pandemic.
- Over-indebtedness occurring after 1 March 2020 is suspended as ground to file for insolvency during the period from 1 March 2020 to 31 October 2020. Also, creditors may not file for insolvency of the debtor based on over-indebtedness during that time. However, the debtor is obligated to file for insolvency (1) within 60 days from 31 October 2020 if the company is (still) overindebted by the end of 31 October 2020; or (2) within 120 days from the date of the occurrence of over-indebtedness, whichever period ends later.

¹⁰ Federal Law Gazette I No. 16/2020 latest amendment Federal Law Gazette I No. 58/2020; Federal Law Gazette I No. 24/2020, latest amendment Federal Law Gazette I No. 58/2020.

viii Special regimes

Under Austrian law, special insolvency regimes exist for banks,¹¹ insurance companies,¹² investment companies¹³ and cooperatives:¹⁴

For banks, special provisions on the recovery and resolution apply (Act on the Recovery and Resolution of Banks). Banks are under the obligation to implement appropriate conditions and procedures, in the form of restructuring plans, to ensure that restructuring measures can be taken in a timely manner in a crisis scenario. Such restructuring plans have to be renewed at least once a year and need to be submitted Financial Market Authority (FMA).

Insurance companies, banks and investment companies cannot file for opening of bankruptcy proceedings themselves but have to notify the FMA of their illiquidity or over-indebtedness. The FMA is subsequently obliged either to file for opening of bankruptcy proceedings or, if applicable, for placement under court-supervised management for a maximum period of one year.¹⁵

Austrian law does not provide for a procedure on insolvency of a group of companies. Instead each group entity has to be evaluated individually. Consequently, individual insolvency proceedings have to be opened with regard to each group entity and possibly different administrators are appointed.

ix Cross-border issues

The relationship with respect to EU Member States (except Denmark, to which the Regulation does not apply) is governed by the Regulation (EU) 2015/848 (Insolvency Regulation).¹⁶ The relationship with all other countries (i.e., non-EU countries and Denmark) is solely regulated by the Insolvency Act.

Insolvency proceedings within the application of the Insolvency Regulation are opened in the Member State where the debtor has its centre of main interest (COMI). Such proceedings embrace the debtor's asset in all other EU Member States (except Denmark) and are recognised in the other Member States.

The opening of foreign insolvency proceedings other than those governed by the Insolvency Regulation does not prevent the commencement of (additional) Austrian insolvency proceedings. Generally, foreign insolvency proceedings in respect of non-EU countries (and Denmark) are recognised if:

- *a* the centre of main interests of the insolvent debtor is located abroad;
- *b* the foreign insolvency proceedings are, in principle, comparable to Austrian insolvency proceedings; and
- *c* the recognition does not result in a violation of Austrian ordre public.

© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd

Section 81 et spp., Austrian Banking Act, Federal Law Gazette I No. 532/1993, latest amendment Federal Law Gazette I No. 46/2019.

¹² Section 307 et spp., Austrian Insurance Supervision Act, Federal Law Gazette I No. 38/2020.

¹³ Section 79 et spp., Austrian Securities Supervision Act, Federal Law Gazette I No. 60/2007, latest amendment Federal Law Gazette I No. 107/2017.

¹⁴ Austrian Cooperatives Insolvency Act, Imperial Law Gazette No. 105/1918, latest amendment Federal Law Gazette I No. 58/2010.

¹⁵ Banks and investment companies are also entitled to file for placement under court-supervised management themselves.

¹⁶ For insolvency proceedings opened before 26 July 2017, the Insolvency Regulation 1346/2000 continues to apply.

If such insolvency proceedings are recognised, a foreign administrator has the same powers in Austria as in his or her own jurisdiction. However, in exercising his or her powers, the administrator must obey Austrian law. In particular, employment law matters (e.g., notification duties) need to be taken into account.

Foreign insolvency proceedings are published on the online insolvency database of the Ministry of Justice (http://www.edikte.justiz.gv.at/).

II INSOLVENCY METRICS

i Metrics for 2019

In 2019, corporate insolvencies stagnated. A total of 5,018 insolvent companies were registered in 2019, which is only slightly above the 2018 figure. The number of proceedings opened rose by 2 per cent to 3,044 cases, while the number of proceedings not opened due to lack of assets fell slightly to 1,974. Overall, however, far fewer people – 17,200 employees – were affected by insolvencies of their employers. Average debts also fell considerably, by around 18 per cent to €1,697 million. In 30 cases, the debt was more than €10 million.

An analysis of sectors most affected by insolvencies in Austria traditionally ranks the top three sectors by cases and by liabilities. Over the years, there have been hardly any surprises, because of the specific nature of the respective businesses (capital intensity) and the total number of companies active in these sectors.

The hotel and restaurant industry, with approximately 42,000 businesses in Austria (the largest sector in terms of numbers), is traditionally among the top three sectors of insolvency cases. This does not at necessarily mean that this sector is particularly vulnerable to insolvencies, in fact, insolvencies in relation to the number of all companies are actually below average in that sector.

The situation is different with regard to the construction industry. Although this sector forms a very large industry with around 27,000 companies in Austria, it ranks among the top positions in terms of the propensity and number of insolvencies. The 'business-related services' sector, which includes all service companies, real estate businesses and holding companies, occupied both the highest number of bankruptcies and the highest number of liabilities in 2019.¹⁷

ii Metrics for Q1 and Q2 2020

The analysis of corporate insolvencies for the first half of 2020 resembles a paradox. The current figures on corporate bankruptcies show a drop of almost 25 per cent compared with 2019. However, these figures do not reflect the actual situation, as this mainly results in the governmental measures to minimise the economic effects of the global pandemic. The measures taken make it possible to postpone insolvency applications and might have negative effects for creditors.¹⁸ In the long term, it remains to be seen whether governmental measures will in fact help the Austrian economy to recover from the effects of the covid-19 crisis.

¹⁷ Kreditschutzverband 1870, final insolvency statistics for 2019, available (in German) at https://www.ksv.at/insolvenzstatistik/insolvenzstatistik-2019-final.

¹⁸ Kreditschutzverband 1870, insolvency statistics for Q1 and Q2 2020, available (in German) at https://www.ksv.at/pressemeldungen/ksv1870-insolvenzstatistik-1-halbjahr-2020.

III PLENARY INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS

i Anglo Austrian Bank AG (AAB)

Insolvency proceedings against Anglo Austrian Bank AG (AAB) were opened on 2 March 2020 following AAB's petition. It has been the largest insolvency in 2020 so far, with liabilities amounting to approximately €200 million. AAB, originally named Meinl Bank AG, was founded by the family Meinl in 1923.¹⁹

The bank hit the headlines due to various difficulties with regulatory authorities, eventually leading to the withdrawal of the banking licence. The FMA alleged a breach of duty in connection with money laundering regulations.²⁰ Without a banking licence, a dispute arose whether the AAB, who filed for insolvency, was actually competent to do so. Due to the special regulations applicable in relation to banks, the authority to file for the opening of insolvency proceedings generally lies with the FMA (see above). The FMA repeatedly argued that AAB was no longer a bank since the withdrawal of its licence and would, therefore, not be subject to the special insolvency regime applicable to banks.

In this regard, the Austrian Supreme Court recently overruled two first-instance decisions (Commercial Court Vienna, Higher Regional Court Vienna) and set forth that the monopoly on filing bankruptcy petitions for banks lies with the FMA – even after the bank licence had been revoked. Following the Austrian Supreme Court's decision and a petition to open insolvency proceedings filed by the FMA, the Vienna Commercial Court has now decided that the effects triggered by the decision to open insolvency proceedings dated 2 March 2020 will remain in place.²¹

ii Kremsmüller Gruppe

Kremsmüller is an Austrian family business based in Upper Austria which was founded in 1961. The company operates mainly in the fields of process technology and electrical engineering, control technology, pipe and plant construction – employing a total of 1,800 in Austria, Germany and Romania. In Austria, about 1,200 employees are affected by the insolvency, however, according to Kremsmüller's management, only 20 jobs are in fact endangered.²²

On 15 June 2020, restructuring proceedings were opened concerning Kremsmüller Industrieanlagenbau KG. Additionally, on 30 June 2020, restructuring proceedings were commenced regarding Kremsmüller Industrieservice KG, which serves as the group's internal service provider. Not affected by the insolvencies are Kremsmüller Beteiligungs GmbH and other subsidiaries of the group, which most recently generated a turnover of about €300 million.

The background that lead to the two insolvencies is a large-scale engagement that got out of hand involving a sewage sludge drying plant for Wien Energie. The original project volume of \notin 22 million threatened to expand to \notin 60 million to \notin 65 million.

¹⁹ www.diepresse.com/5777919/ex-meinl-bank-beantragt-konkurs-und-lost-einlagensicherung-aus.

²⁰ www.diepresse.com/5777919/ex-meinl-bank-beantragt-konkurs-und-lost-einlagensicherung-aus; www. derstandart.at/story/2000117713992/gericht-erklaert-konkursantrag-der-meinl-bank-fuer-ungueltig.

²¹ www.ksv.at/presse/laufende-insolvenzfaelle/anglo-austrian-aab-ag; https://www.fondsprofessionell.at/news/ unternehmen/headline/aab-bank-zurueck-an-den-start-199355/.

²² https://ooe.orf.at/stories/3053249.

According to the company's management, liabilities amount to around \in 58 million, almost exclusively in the form of bank guarantees. Professional creditor organisations reported that uncovered liabilities amount to between \in 115.8 million and \in 135 million and assets to approximately \in 72 million.²³

iii Odebrecht E&P Gmbh

Insolvency proceedings over Odebrecht E&P GmbH were opened on 20 January 2020 following a creditor's application. The Vienna Commercial Court as insolvency court had previously ordered interim measures to protect creditors' interests in December 2019.

The Austrian subsidiary, which was established in Austria only for tax reasons, is part of the Brazilian Odebrecht group, which is involved in one of the biggest corruption scandals in Latin America. In 2016, the Brazilian group, which faces liabilities amounting to billions, pleaded guilty for corruption and bribery and accepted a fine of US\$3.5 billion in the US. Odebrecht E&P GmbH has no employees and solely acts as holding company for subsidiaries in Angola, Peru and Venezuela.²⁴ According to its last financial statement, Odebrecht E&P GmbH's liabilities amount to €117 million. Professional creditors' organisations estimate the Odebrecht E&P GmbH's liabilities exceed €100 million, ranking it among the biggest insolvencies in 2020 to date liability-wise.²⁵

iv Vapiano

With €33.2 million in liabilities, the insolvency of the restaurant franchise company Vapiano, whose restaurants offer pizza, pasta and salads, ranks among the more prominent insolvency cases within Austria. In Germany, Vapiano SE applied for the opening of insolvency proceedings in Cologne by end of March 2020. The Austrian subsidiary followed shortly after in April. In Austria, 14 Vapiano locations and more than 700 employees are affected, excluding international franchisees. The company already faced financial difficulties in the autumn of the previous year. The increasing competition from competitors such as L'Osteria and eventually the collapse of shares in Vapiano by two-thirds, as a result of the national coronavirus lockdowns, brought year-to-date losses to more than 90 per cent.²⁶

The initial restructuring proceedings of Vapiano Restaurant Betriebs- und Beteiligungs GmbH with 612 employees and Walfischgasse 11 'Moulin Rouge' Gastronomie GmbH with 61 employees were eventually converted into bankruptcy proceedings. The Austrian branch of the German Vapiano SE with 52 employees applied for secondary insolvency proceedings on 15 June 2020.²⁷

²³ https://www.akv.at/akv-aktuelles/presse/insolvenz-kremsmueller-industrieservice-kg; https://www.akv.at/ akv-aktuelles/presse/insolvenz-kremsmueller-industrieanlagenbau-kg; https://www.ksv.at/insolvenzfaelle/ kremsmueller-industrieanlagenbau-kg-176362.

²⁴ www.sn.at/wirtschaft/oesterreich/odebrecht-skandal-konkurs-ueber-wiener-tochter firma-eroeffnet-82320526.

²⁵ https://www.derstandard.at/story/2000113581658/odebrecht-skandal-zieht-grosse-kreise-in-oesterreich.

²⁶ www.diepresse.com/5798331/vapiano-auch-in-osterreich-insolvent; /www.reuters.com/article/us-vapianobankruptcy/german-restaurant-chain-vapiano-files-for-insolvency-idUSKBN21K14M; www.derstandard. at/story/2000116707466/auch-vapiano-oesterreich-meldet-insolvenz-an-700-mitarbeiter-betroffen.

²⁷ https://wien.arbeiterkammer.at/beratung/arbeitundrecht/insolvenz/aktuellefaelle/Vapiano_Insolvenz_in_ Oesterreich.html

v Thomas Cook Austria AG

On 26 September 2019, insolvency proceedings were opened at the Vienna Commercial Court against Thomas Cook Austria AG, the third largest domestic tour operator in Austria.²⁸ Thomas Cook Austria AG is a subsidiary of the British global travel group Thomas Cook whose group companies filed for insolvency in various European countries. A restructuring of the group seems impossible and is not intended.

The last balance sheet of Thomas Cook Austria AG for 2018 shows liabilities of €36 million. The assets consist in particular receivables against affiliated group companies. Up to 15,000 creditors are affected by the bankruptcy in Austria.

IV ANCILLARY INSOLVENCY PROCEEDINGS

Despite the insolvency of the Vapiano franchise where ancillary proceedings have been opened against certain group companies (Vapiano SE Austrian branch, see above), there have not been considerable ancillary insolvency proceedings opened in Austria over the past 12 months.

V TRENDS

The covid-19 crisis will continue to have substantial impact on the number of insolvencies in Austria. Because of the extension of the deadlines for insolvency filings and several state aid measures available, it is expected that the insolvency figures in 2020 will be lower than originally anticipated, even lower compared to 2019. This is reflected in the insolvency statistics for the first half of 2020.²⁹ At some point, however, a wave of insolvencies will most likely hit Austria. It is difficult to say when this happen as the legal framework might be amended again because of the continuing covid-19 situation.

²⁸ https://www.diepresse.com/5696213/thomas-cook-austria-geht-in-konkurs.

²⁹ Kreditschutzverband 1870, insolvency statistics for Q1 and Q2 2020, available (in German) at https://www.ksv.at/pressemeldungen/ksv1870-insolvenzstatistik-1-halbjahr-2020.

Appendix 1

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

EVA SPIEGEL

Wolf Theiss

Eva Spiegel specialises in insolvency law and commercial litigation. She co-heads the firm's insolvency, restructuring and crisis management team. She regularly advises major creditors on insolvency proceedings and on the protection and enforcement of creditors' rights. In addition, Eva has wide experience in handling commercial litigation cases, representing both national and international clients. She also has in-depth knowledge of all types of financial products from an insolvency and restructuring perspective.

PAUL KREPIL

Wolf Theiss

Paul Krepil has been an associate since 2016 and is a member of the disputes department at the Wolf Theiss Vienna office. Paul advices on insolvency law, commercial litigation and white-collar crime matters and has experience in representing both national and international clients. He received a master's degree from the University of Vienna and completed part of his studies at the University of Edinburgh. Paul is a member of the Young Austrian Arbitration Practitioners.

WOLF THEISS

Schubertring 6 1010 Vienna Austria Tel: +43 1 51510 Fax: +43 1 515 10 25 eva.spiegel@wolftheiss.com paul.krepil@wolftheiss.com

an LBR business

ISBN 978-1-83862-461-3

© 2020 Law Business Research Ltd