POLAND CORPORATE AND TAX ALERT SUMMARY OF THE FIRST HALF OF 2015

2015 brought significant changes in the field of corporate law. The two most important laws for the operation of businesses, the Act on the National Commercial Register and the Commercial Companies Code, received long-awaited amendments in December 2014 and January 2015. Additionally, two rulings important for entrepreneurs have been delivered: the Supreme Court ruling on a joint irregular proxy, and the European Court of Justice ruling on imposing a tax on civil-law transactions of partnerships limited by shares. The first has fuelled discussions over the widespread use of this form of a company's representation and the latter enables partnerships limited by shares to reclaim overpaid tax on civil law transactions.

SIMPLIFICATION OF REGISTRY PROCEEDINGS

When registering a new company or amending the data of a registered company, only one application has to be filed with the National Commercial Register (NCR). Previously, entrepreneurs were obliged to attach to the application submitted to the NCR separate applications: to the tax authorities (for a tax identity number – NIP); to the National Official Business Register (for a statistical number – REGON); and to the Social Insurance Institution (to register as a social insurance premium payer). This caused the whole registration procedure to be prolonged substantially. A recent integration of IT systems allows the NCR to transfer basic data necessary to complete the remaining registrations directly to the relevant offices. As a result, along with an entry in the National Court Register, the company will be assigned a tax identification number, statistical number and an electronic notification of a new payer will be sent to the Social Insurance Institution (if the entrepreneur plans to hire employees). The removal of the obligation to submit a document confirming legal title to the registered office premises (most commonly a lease agreement) is also a positive change.

Other application must still be submitted. Within 21 days of registration at the NCR (or within 7 days, if the entrepreneur is a social insurance premium payer), the company is obliged to submit application NIP-8 with supplementary data to the relevant tax office. NIP-8 is an integrated application containing additional information required not only by the tax office but also by the National Official Business Register and the Social Insurance Institution. After receiving NIP-8, the tax office will automatically transfer the relevant data to the IT systems of the other two institutions. After registration at the NCR, the integrated IT system will also be used to distribute information on changes of the company's details. Upon receiving an application notifying a change, the NCR's IT system will automatically send the updated information to the relevant authorities.

A REDUCED NUMBER OF BUSINESS ACTIVITY AREAS LISTED IN THE NCR

Business activity areas are determined by the Polish Classification of Activities and are known as PKD items. According to the new rules, the number of PKD items is reduced to a maximum of 10 items. Additionally, the company needs to specify its predominant activity at the sub-classes level. Since many companies used to declare long lists of business activity areas, the rationale behind this amendment was to provide the NCR with clear information in what business area companies actually operate. This change does not mean, however, that the entity subject to entry in the NCR

will have to limit the scope of its business activities to only 10 PKD items. In the articles of association, companies may still list as many PKD items as they deem appropriate. Companies already entered in the NCR also need to comply. Along with the first application submitted to the NCR following 1 December 2014 (the amendment effective date), but not later than 5 years after that date, existing companies need to file for a change in PKD items.

CONSENT INSTEAD OF SPECIMEN SIGNATURE

It is no longer required to file with the NCR a certified specimen signature of the person(s) authorized to represent the company (shareholders, members of the board and proxies). This provision has been revoked with effect from 15 January 2015. Instead of the obligation to submit certified specimen signatures, an application for entry/change of person(s) representing the company must be supplemented with such person's consent for appointment. However, this requirement does not apply if the application for registration will be signed by the same person who is subject to entry or, that person's consent is contained in the minutes of the meeting at which the appointment took place.

CHANGE IN REPORTING OBLIGATIONS

A failure to submit a financial statement (for two consecutive years after notice) entitles the court to initiate proceedings for deletion of the company entered in the NCR. Such proceedings may be conducted by the court without the obligation to initiate liquidation proceedings. If, in the course of proceedings for deletion, the registration court determines that the company is not in operation and has no assets, it will dissolve the company and remove it from the NCR. Previously, the registration court could only impose a financial fine for not complying with the obligation to submit financial statements. The amendment mainly aims to provide greater credibility of the information revealed in the NCR.

REQUIREMENT TO SUBMIT ADDRESSES OF THE BOARD MEMBERS

Under the new wording of Art. 167 § 3 of the Commercial Companies Code, information with addresses of board members needs to be attached to the application for entry into the NCR. In the case of any address change, an appropriate application needs to be filed to the NCR, as well. Failing this, the address reported in the NCR will be deemed valid.

SUPREME COURT RESOLUTION ON A JOINT IRREGULAR PROXY

The Supreme Court resolution dated 30 January 2015 on a so-called 'joint irregular proxy' has fuelled discussions over the widespread use of this form of a company's representation. The joint irregular proxy is based on a reservation that the appointed commercial proxy may represent the company only jointly with a member of a management board. This was a popular solution to gain control over the proxy activities and although the judiciary have disagreed about its legitimacy, it has been accepted by many courts and entered into the corporate files kept in the NCR as a form of company representation. However, the Supreme Court ruled that entering a commercial proxy in the NCR with such reservation is inadmissible. However, all legal acts performed by such commercial representatives prior to the resolution date remain valid. The Supreme Court emphasized that even though the limitation of the scope of authorization of a proxy is inadmissible, a so-called "mixed" representation performed by a board member and a commercial proxy acting as a replacement of another management board member is still possible (unless the company's articles of association provide otherwise). In other words, the commercial proxy cannot

be restricted with a countersignature of a board member, but the other way round is accepted. As a result, in order to gain control over the sole proxy activities, companies should appoint at least two commercial proxies to act jointly. The alternative is to establish joint commercial representation (e.g., two commercial proxies) with the other proxy not being appointed. In such a case, a commercial proxy cannot make declarations of will on its own, but still may act as a replacement of another management board member, if clearly provided for in the company's articles of association. However, it remains to be seen if such practice will be seamlessly approved by the courts.

EUROPEAN COURT OF JUSTICE RULING ON PARTNERSHIPS LIMITED BY SHARES

In accordance with the Polish Tax on Civil Law Transactions Act, upon a change of Articles of Association of a capital company a partnership is subject to tax on civil law transactions (TCLT). A change of the Articles occurs, for example, in the event of a merger, conversion or a contribution resulting in an increase of either a share capital, (in the case of a capital company and a partnership limited by shares - PLS) or a partnership's assets, in the case of other types of partnerships. However, according to the Tax on Civil Law Transactions Act, such a change of the Articles is exempted from TCLT in the case of a (i) merger of capital companies, (ii) capital company conversion to another capital company, (iii) share-for-share transaction where a capital company obtains a majority of the voting rights in another capital company, or, holding such a majority, acquires a further holding, and (iv) in-kind contribution made by a capital company to another capital company in the form of an enterprise or its material ('organized') part.

The nature of a PLS is hybrid, as it is a partnership, but with some features of a capital company, including the existence of share capital. For this reason, it has been unclear and led to many disputes with Polish tax authorities as to whether a PLS should be regarded as a capital company for TCLT purposes and as to whether the above-mentioned transactions involving a PLS also enjoy the TCLT exemption. One of these disputes was subject to a European Court of Justice (ECJ) assessment. As a result, in a ruling dated 22 April 2015 (C-357/13), the ECJ concluded that a PLS is a capital company within the meaning of Council Directive 2008/7/EC concerning indirect taxes on the raising of capital.

As a consequence, currently taxpayers have the right to seek refunds of the TCLT paid on the above-mentioned transactions involving a PLS. For this purpose, depending on circumstances, taxpayers must file either an application for a TCLT refund (if no final tax decision has been issued by the tax authorities) or an application for a reopening of concluded tax proceedings.

Although the ECJ ruling has not yet been published, taxpayers already have the right to file an application for a tax refund. Moreover, we believe there are strong arguments supporting the standpoint that taxpayers should be entitled to file the application even if the standard tax liability limitation period has already expired (which is 5 years from the end of the year when the deadline for the tax payment lapsed).

CONTROLLED FOREIGN COMPANIES

There has recently been an amendment to the Polish corporate income tax law regarding controlled foreign companies (CFC). From 1 January 2015 Polish tax residents are required to pay tax on income generated by their CFCs. A foreign entity will be deemed to be a CFC if: (i) it is domiciled in a "tax haven" country (e.g., Lichtenstein, Hong Kong, Monaco, British Virgin Islands), (ii) it is domiciled in a country with which neither Poland nor the EU have concluded any international treaties, in particular double tax treaties, that would allow for obtaining information

from the country's tax authorities or (iii) it meets all of the following conditions: (a) at least 25% of shares (or voting or profit rights) is owned directly or indirectly by a Polish tax resident for an uninterrupted period of 30 days; (b) it derives at least 50% of its income from, e.g., dividends, interest, royalties or capital gains resulting from the sale of shares in companies or receivables (so-called passive income); (c) at least one type of its passive income listed above is subject to a tax rate at least 25% lower than the Polish 19% tax rate (i.e., 14.25% or lower) or is exempt or excluded from taxation in the country where it is domiciled (unless the exemption results from the EU Parent/Subsidiary Directive). The regulations also apply to activities in the form of foreign permanent establishments. As the aim of the amendments is to avoid harmful competition from so-called "tax havens", CFC regulations do not apply to foreign entities that conduct active business activities.

Key Contacts



Katarzyna Stec
Associate
Tax Practice Group
ul. Mokotowska
00-542 Warsaw
Poland
Tel. +48 22 378 8966
Fax +48 22 378 8901
katarzyna.stec@wolftheiss.com

Joanna Wajdzik
Associate
Corporate/M&A Practice Group
ul. Mokotowska
00-542 Warsaw
Poland

Tel. +48 22 378 8944 Fax +48 22 378 8901

joanna.wajdzik@wolftheiss.com

This memorandum has been prepared solely for the purpose of general information and is not a substitute for legal advice. WOLF THEISS accepts no responsibility if, in reliance on the information contained in this Client Alert, you act, or fail to act, in any particular way.

If you would like to know more about the topics covered in this Client Alert or our services in general, please get in touch with the contacts listed above, or with:

WOLF THEISS P. Daszkowski sp.k. ul. Mokotowska 49 00-542 Warsaw Poland

T +48 22 378 8900 F +48 22 378 8901 warszawa@wolftheiss.com