CLAIMS SETTLEMENT ORDER IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DECLARED UNCONSTITUTIONAL

The Constitutional Court of FBiH has found that the current order of settlement of workers' claims in bankruptcy proceedings is unconstitutional.

With Judgment U-27/15, the Court declared articles 33 and 40 of the Law on Bankruptcy Proceedings (FBiH Official Gazette, nos. 29/03, 32/04 and 42/06) unconstitutional, which considerably affected the order of settlement of creditors in bankruptcy proceedings.

Previously, although workers' claims generally belonged to the category of higher payment priority within bankruptcy proceedings, they were settled only after claims incurred during the period of interim administration (which could not have been settled by the interim trustee or the bankruptcy administrator). In each case, the costs of the bankruptcy proceedings and debts of the bankruptcy estate were paid out of the bankruptcy estate before the higher payment priority claims were settled (including claims incurred during the period of interim administration).

Even within the higher payment priority category, the full settlement of workers' claims was limited in two ways:

- by capping the amount of the wages to the minimum wage, calculated in line with the General Collective Agreement for FBiH for each month, including contributions; and
- by a time limit, i.e. the right to receive wages only for the last eight months before the opening of bankruptcy proceedings.

However, on 20 January 2017, when the judgment of the Court was published in the Official Gazette of FBiH, the settlement order and the priority changed significantly, as the Court found that it is unconstitutional to settle workers' claims only after the costs of the bankruptcy proceedings, the debts of the bankruptcy estate and the debts of interim administration are settled. Further, it decided that setting a wage cap and a time limit is also unconstitutional. Therefore, the provisions governing the settlement of the costs of the bankruptcy proceedings, debts of the bankruptcy estate and the higher payment priority category have been declared unconstitutional in their entirety.

The provisions in question may not be applied after 20 January 2017 - which raises the important question: how will the settlement order be set up now, as unconstitutional provisions have no legal effect anymore?

The Court has not issued an interim measure (which could have been valid for a period not exceeding six months after the judgment is published) and that would have served to bridge the gap until proper steps are taken by the Parliament of FBiH. As the Parliament will in any case vote soon on the draft of a new law on bankruptcy, it is

January 2017

expected that it will provide for a different settlement order than the order found unconstitutional.

About WOLF THEISS

Wolf Theiss is one of the leading law firms in Central, Eastern and Southeastern Europe (CEE/SEE). We have built our reputation on a combination of unrivalled local knowledge and strong international capability. We opened our first office in Vienna 60 years ago. Our team now brings together over 340 lawyers from a diverse range of backgrounds, working in offices in 13 countries throughout the CEE/SEE region.

For more information about our services, please contact:



Naida Čustović Attorney at Law in cooperation with Wolf Theiss <u>naida.custovic@wolftheiss.com</u> T: +387 33 953 460



Jasmin Omerdić Associate jasmin.omerdic@wolftheiss.com T: +387 33 953 440

This memorandum has been prepared solely for the purpose of general information and is not a substitute for legal advice.

Therefore, WOLF THEISS accepts no responsibility if – in reliance on the information contained in this memorandum – you act, or fail to act, in any particular way.

If you would like to know more about the topics covered in this memorandum or our services in general, please get in touch with your usual WOLF THEISS contact or with:

Wolf Theiss Schubertring 6 AT – 1010 Vienna

www.wolftheiss.com